

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,639	07/17/2003	Robert Howard	C152 1160.1	1020
7.	590 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
	ARLYLĖ SANDRIDGI	LIN, ING HOUR		
P.O. Box 7037 Atlanta, GA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1725	· · · · ·
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/621,639	HOWARD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ing-Hour Lin	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>ly 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	, ,	` '					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	4) [] Internet 6	(DTO 442)					
1) \(\textstyle \text	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0615.	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
· Was Indianipate 0010.	ارت المارة ا						

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11, 14-19, 22, 24-25, and 27-28, 33-35 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al.

Crafton et al (col. 14, lines 58+; Fig. 6) teach the claimed casting system and method for producing metal castings: pouring a molten metal into molds at a casting station to form to the castings; transferring the castings to an elevated drop-bottom furnace 111 equipped with lift means 116 including hooks 118 for engaging a casting support (basket) 40 of castings and heating

Art Unit: 1725

means including electric and radiant heaters (col. 15, lines 23+) for heating the castings; opening the a sliding door 120 in the bottom of the furnace; depositing the castings in a fluidized bed chamber including a quench tank 148; and removing the castings from the chamber to the furnace after completion of heat treatment. Crafton et al fail to teach the use of a mobile furnace.

However, Beuret et al (col. 2, lines 19+) teach the use of mobile furnace equipped with rollers 8 such that the furnace can move on the track 9 (Fig. 4) for the purpose of effectively heat treating the casting and preventing heat lose between heat treatment station and casting station. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Crafton et al the use of a mobile furnace as taught by Beuret et al in order effectively control the casting temperature between heat treatment station and casting station.

4. Claims 4 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al and further in view of Laurino.

Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al fails to teach the use of a casting retractor.

However, Laurino (col. 3, lines 63+) teaches the use of a casting retractor (robotic grippers) 49a, 49b for the purpose of effectively retracting the casting from the mold (col. 4, lines 3+). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al the use of a casting retractor as taught by Laurino in order to effectively retract the casting from the mold.

5. Claims 9-10, 20-21, 36-37, 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al and further in view of Barboni et al.

Art Unit: 1725

Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al fails to teach the use of a removable lid in claims 9-10 and 20-21 and multiple heat treatment chambers in claims 36-36 and 40-46.

However, Barboni et al (col. 3, lines 60+) teach the use of a removable lid 4b, 5b, 6b for the purpose of effectively covering the multiple heat treatment chambers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al the use of coupling the hook of the casting support with the removable lid as taught by Laurino in order to effectively reduce the cycle time of heat treatment.

6. Claims 12-13 and 26, 29-30, 32 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al and further in view of Cress.

Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al fails to teach the use of a thermal arresting unit.

However, Cress (col. 5, lines 45+) teaches the use of a thermal arresting unit for the purpose of effectively storing castings and preventing heat loss. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al the use of thermal arresting unit as taught by Cress in order to effectively reduce heat loss of stored castings waiting for heat treatment.

7. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al and further in view of Cress and Barboni et al.

Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al and further in view of Cress fails to teach the use of a removable lid.

Art Unit: 1725

€ , , 😩

Page 5

However, Barboni et al (col. 3, lines 60+) teach the use of a removable lid 4b, 5b, 6b for the purpose of effectively covering the multiple heat treatment chambers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Crafton et al in view of Beuret et al and further in view of Cress the use of coupling the hook of the casting support with the removable lid as taught by Laurino in order to effectively reduce the cycle time of heat treatment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).